

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,255	04/11/2000	TAKANORI SHINOKI	2000-0465A	5270
7:	590 11/05/2002			
	H LIND & PONACK		EXAMI	NER
2033 K STREE	ET NW		GUARRIELL	O IOHN I
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20006		GOMINGLEDE	.O, JOHN J
WASHINGTO	11, DC 20000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A
Office Action Summary	Application No.  19529255  Shizoki et al  Examiner  Group Art Unit  Group Art Unit  1014  Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -
Period for Reply	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ling date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	12063
Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	)/2002
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>	for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
(Claim/e)	
Unairi(s)	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are object	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is papproved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is papproved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is papproved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is paperoved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner  is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  Stare object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been re  Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is papproved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ceived. ceived in Application No. have been received
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been re  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is paperoved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ceived. ceived. ceived in Application No. have been received  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been re  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International  *Certified copies not received:	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is paperoved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ceived. ceived. ceived in Application No. have been received  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been re  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is paperoved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ceived. ceived. ceived in Application No. have been received  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been re  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International  *Certified copies not received:	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ceived. ceived in Application No. have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ure  All Some*  Certified copies of the priority documents have been recommondered to copies of the priority documents have been recommondered to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recommondered to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ceived. ceived in Application No. have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09529255 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

15. The Examiner acknowledges paper # 9 of 8/30/2002.

16. The Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of original claims 1-8, and the submission of new claims 9-18, as well as the affirmation of the election of Group I, claims 9-11, 17, 18 drawn to a support member for a semipermeable membrane.

### Election/Restriction

17. Group II, claim(s) 12-16, drawn to process of making a support member for a semipermeable membrane, is withdrawn as to the non-elected invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

18. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09529255

Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Claims 9, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-10-174849.

JP'849 describes an ultra filtration apparatus comprising a pair of ultra filtration membranes attached to both sides of a metal plastic support plate. (see abstract). JP'849 describes a permeation water passage layer (corresponding to a support member) made up of a non-woven fabric which is interposed between the support plate and each filtration membrane, (see abstract). JP'849 describes the density of the non-woven is 0.4-0.95 g/cc., (see abstract; [0006] of the machine translation). JP'849 describes the tensile strength and the permeability of the permeability layer is restricted to 3-20 kg/ 15mm width and 5-20 cubic cm./square cm./second, (see abstract; [0006] of machine translation). JP'849 describes the non-woven to be polyester, [0007] and a support component, [0009], (see machine translation). JP'849 describes the essential limitations of the claimed invention, other properties would be inherent. Claims lack novelty.

Art Unit: 1771

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 20. Claims 9-11, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-10-174849.

JP'849 describes as above in paragraph # 18 except JP'849 describes a component which can be considered a support member or the support member for a semi-permeable membrane except for the particular amount of polyester non-woven, and the parameters as claimed. Since applicant's "comprising" is open language and does not exclude any additional elements. Further, any properties or characteristics inherent in the prior art, although unobserved or detected by the reference, would still anticipate the claimed invention. See In re Swinehart, 169 USPQ 226. "It is elementary that the mere recitation of a newly discovered ... property, inherently possessed by things in the prior art

Application/Control Number: 09529255 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

does not cause claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art". Since the claimed parameters are expressed differently, and thus may be distinct from those claimed, it is incumbent upon applicants to establish that they are in fact different, and whether such difference is unobvious. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the particular elements and/or parameters as claimed, since it is well established that merely selecting proportions and ranges is not patentable absent a showing of criticality. In re Beckett 33USPQ 33(CCPA 1937). In re Russell, 439 F.2d 1228, 169 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1971).

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Guarriello whose telephone number is 703-308-3209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The

Art Unit: 1771

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John J. Guarriello:gj

Patent Examiner

October 22, 2002

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700